Docket No.: POUSTKA-2 Serial No.: 09/880,688

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER AUG 2 1 2006

REMARKS

The last Office Action of July 19, 2006 has been carefully considered. Consideration and further examination of the instant application in view of the following remarks is respectfully requested.

The Examiner has deemed the prior response inadequate with the further requirement to indicate which claims read on the species enumerated by the Examiner in an election/restriction requirement dated April 16, 2004. Applicant has conducted an interview with the Examiner to elucidate the further requirements. Applicant has stated on the record the following: Claims 54, 56-64, 66-71 and 75-83 read on the elected invention.

Applicant contends it has previously indicated this in applicant's responses, albeit expressed in somewhat different form.

CONCLUSION

Applicant believes that in view of the current response, the response to the Office Action is now considered complete and further examination on the merits is urged.

Should the Examiner find that there is any inadequacy in this Supplemental response, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner contact the undersigned by telephone to clear up any inadequacies and to avoid further delay of the application; applicant would greatly appreciate such a telephone interview.

Respectfully submitted,

ับrsula B. Day

Attorney For Applicant

Reg. No: 47,296

Date: August 21, 2006 350 Fifth Avenue Suite 4714 New York, N.Y. 10118 (212)244-5500 UBD:sh